IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

MONDAY, THE 19TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 28TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 33995 of 2007(V)

PETITIONER:

NIVED ARJUN, S/O A.G.ARJUNAN,
'MIDHILA', S.N.D.P.ROAD,
ANGAMALY P.O., ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.T.SETHUMADHAVAN
SRI.PUSHPARAJAN KODOTH
SRI.K.JAYESH MOHANKUMAR

RESPONDENTS:

CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
UNIVERSITY OF CALICUT,
PAREEKSHA BHAVAN,
CALICUT UNIVERSITY P.O.673635.

BY SC FOR THE UNIVERSITY SRI.P.C. SASIDHARAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19/11/2007, ALONG WITH W.P.(C)S.33987 & 33990/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ANTONY DOMINIC, J

W.P.(C)s. 33987/07, 33990/07 & 33995/07

Dated this the 19th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioners are students in B.A.M.S under the first respondent, University. It is stated in the writ petitions that they have applied for re-valuation of some of their examination papers.

2. Petitioner in W.P.(C).33987/2007 has stated that she had remitted the fee by chelan No.353664 and made an application for re-valuation on 31.10.2007. In so far as the petitioner in W.P.(C).33995/2007 is concerned, it is stated that he too had made his application on 31.10.2007 and the chelan number of remittance of fee is 353706. Similarly the petitioner in W.P.(C).35990/2007 also claims to have submitted his application on 31.10.2007 and the Chelan number is stated to be 353697.

3. The petitioners in W.P.(C)s. 33987/2007 & 33990/2007 had applied for re-valuation of two papers each and the petitioner in W.P.(C).33995/2007 had applied for re-valuation for three papers. In view of the

delay in completing the process of re-valuation and declaring the results, petitioners have filed these writ petitions.

4. I heard the learned Standing Counsel for the University also .

5. Taking into account of the submissions made as above, this writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent that if the applications for re-valuation made by the petitioners have been received and are pending, the same shall be considered and revaluation completed as expeditiously as possible at any rate within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Petitioners shall produce a copy of this judgment before the respondent for compliance.

ANTONY DOMINIC
Judge

mrcs